

CONNECTING MATHEMATICS CONCEPTS

- Brent, G., & DiOibilda, N. (1993). Curriculum alignment versus Direct Instruction: Effects on stable and mobile urban children. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 86(6), 333-338.
- Crawford, D. B., & Snider, V. E. (2000). Effective mathematics instruction: The importance of curriculum. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 23(2), 122-142.
- Cross, R. W., Rebarber, T., & Wilson, S. F. (2002). Student gains in a privately managed network of charter schools using Direct Instruction. *Journal of Direct Instruction*, 2(1), 3-21.
- Jung, J. S. (1997). The differential effects of mathematics instruction on the achievement of students of varying performance levels. *Dissertation from the University of Wisconsin-Madison*.
- McKenzie, M. A., Marchand-Martella, N. E., Moors, M.E., & Martella, R. C. (2004). Teaching basic math skills to preschoolers using Connecting Math Concepts Level K. *Journal of Direct Instruction*, 4(1), 85-94.
- Snider, V., & Crawford, D. (1996). Action research: Implementing Connecting Math Concepts. *Effective School Practices*, 15(2), 17-26.
- Tarver, S. G., & Jung, J. S. (1995). A comparison of mathematics achievement and mathematics attitudes of first and second graders instructed with either a discovery-learning mathematics curriculum or a Direct Instruction curriculum. *Effective School Practices*, 14(1), 49-57.
- Vreeland, M., Vail, J., Bradley, L., Cipriano, K., Green, C., Henshaw, P., et al. (1994). Accelerating cognitive growth: The Edison School math project. *Effective School Practices*, 13(2), 64-70.
- Wellington, J. (1994). Evaluating a mathematics program for adoption: Connecting Math Concepts. *Effective School Practices*, 13(2), 70-75.